

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		Ý (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0112638 DA	TE: <u>04/19/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00A</u>	M	DEPART: <u>11:00A</u>	<u>M</u>	
FACILITY NAME: CIT	ΓΥ FURNITURE-DISTRIBU	JTION CENTER				
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 6701 N HIATUS RD)				
	TAMARAC 33321-	-6406				
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: SI Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO		014	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(954)597-2200 (954)732-4 5615012293		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
DARW DECORDINE		7 : (2 210 200 E A	~			
PART II: <u>RECORDKE</u> (check ✓ appropriat	EPING REQUIREMENTS - te box(es))	– Rule 62-210.300, F.A.	C.			
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?————————————————————————————————————						
PART III: CONTROL/(check ☑ appropriate	e box(es))	ANCE REQUIREMENT	<u>TS</u> – Rule 6	52-210.300, F.A.C.		
emission limiting 2. Does the facility	e coating operation(s) subject g standard of Chapter 62-296. cause, suffer, allow or permit odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F	1.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-21 it the discharge of air pollu	10.300(3)(c) utants which)4.b., F.A.C.) h cause or contribute to	□Yes ⊠No	

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)
cycles?2) recycling cleaning solvents?	f reducing VOC emissions by: ffective application with a minimum of overspray? ive coating? vaterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? ent spillage? Yes \sum No Yes \sum No
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE A. New or Modified Process Equipment	ES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without or replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office?	out replacement? y different than that noted on the most
 a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without concept and process equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62) 	out replacement? y different than that noted on the most
 a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without or replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-local program office? 	out replacement? y different than that noted on the most
a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially recent notification form?	out replacement?

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 4/19/2011, AQD staff (E.Susky) observed operations at City Furniture. The facility has one spray painting booth that they utilize for small repairs on furniture. Mr. Jon Greenberg (Director of Operations) and Shawn Feraco (Shop Manager) accompanied staff on the inspection. Housekeeping is very good, however AQD staff did suggest that the facility utilize a locking funnel cap on top of their waste paint drum. VOC records were submitted by Mr. Greenberg and the facility is at an average of approximately 15.84 of VOC/per day for a twelve month period. The record-keeping is excellent and continues to be very thorough.